# Indiscriminate Poisoning Attacks on Unsupervised Contrastive Learning



Hao He\*



Kaiwen Zha\*



Dina Katabi

(\* indicates equal contribution)



ICLR 2023 Spotlight (notable top 25%)

https://kaiwenzha.github.io/contrastive-poisoning



### Indiscriminate Data Poisoning



**Bad Performance!** 

### Prior Indiscriminate Poisoning Methods are Successful, but

[1] TensorClog (Shen et al., 2019)

[2] Alignment (Fowl et al., 2021)

. . .

[3] DeepConfuse (Feng et al. 2019)

[4] Unlearnable Example (Huang et al., 2021)

[5] Adversarial Poisoning (Fowl et al., 2021)

**Poisoned Accuracy on CIFAR-10** 



### **Prior Works Only Attack Supervised Learning!**

**Contrastive Learning Can Break Prior Attacks** 



Step 1: Learning representations via contrastive learning

### Contrastive Learning Can Break Prior Attacks



#### **Our Problem: How to Poison Contrastive Learning?**

## Our Idea: Shortcutting the Contrastive Learning

**Shortcut:** providing the model an <u>easy</u> way to minimize the contrastive learning loss <u>without</u> actually learning real features.

Poisoned model aligns poisoned views via the perturbation

Poisoned model does not align clean views

### Contrastive Poisoning (CP)

#### Optimize the poison to minimize the contrastive learning (CL) loss



### Contrastive Poisoning (CP)



Two Views



Back-propagate through Data Augmentation Back-propagate through Momentum Encoder

### **Results - Same Contrastive Learning Algorithm**

(S) Sample-wise Poisoning: Each data point has its own perturbation(C) Class-wise Poisoning: Data points from the same class share the perturbation

Attack Type	CIFAR-10 SimCLR MoCo v2 BYOL			CIFAR-100 SimCLR MoCo v2 BYOL			ImageNet-100 SimCLR
		ng hanna kata dan kat					a men ou autornation de actives de anna
None	91.8	91.8	92.2	63.6	65.2	65.3	69.3
RANDOM NOISE	90.4	90.1	90.7	58.5	59.8	61.0	67.5
Contrastive Poisoning (S Contrastive Poisoning (C	) <b>44.9</b> 2) 68 0	<b>55.1</b> 61.9	59.6 <b>56.9</b>	<b>19.9</b> 34.7	<b>21.8</b> 41.9	41.9 <b>39.2</b>	<b>48.2</b> 55.6

MoCo and BYOL are less vulnerable to the attack than SimCLR

### **Results - Cross Contrastive Learning Algorithms**

Attack Type 1 Attacker's Alg	Victim's Algorithm			
Allack Type + Allacker S Alg.	SimCLR	MoCo	BYOL	
Adversarial Poisoning	81.5	80.3	78.6	
UNLEARNABLE EXAMPLE	91.3	90.9	91.6	
CONTRASTIVE POISONING (S) (SIMCLR)	44.9	82.0	85.4	
CONTRASTIVE POISONING (S) (MOCO)	54.9	55.1	71.1	
CONTRASTIVE POISONING (S) (BYOL)	65.1	64.2	59.6	
CONTRASTIVE POISONING (C) (SIMCLR)	68.0	68.4	67.2	
CONTRASTIVE POISONING (C) (MOCO)	60.9	61.9	59.5	
CONTRASTIVE POISONING (C) (BYOL)	60.7	61.8	56.9	

#### **High Transferability**

### Attacks Both Supervised Learning and Contrastive Learning

Attack Type + Attacker's Alg.	Victim's Algorithm Supervised SimCLR			
Adversarial Poisoning	8.7	81.5		
Unlearnable Examples	19.9	91.3		
Contrastive Poisoning (C) (SimCLR)	10.2	68.0		
Contrastive Poisoning (C) (MoCo)	10.0	60.9		
Contrastive Poisoning (C) (BYOL)	10.1	60.7		
	1			

Can not defend our attack by supervised learning

# Summary

- New Problem: Poisoning Unsupervised Contrastive Learning
- New Attack: Contrastive Poisoning (attacks both supervised learning and contrastive learning)

Resources

- Paper: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.11202</u>
- Code: <a href="https://github.com/kaiwenzha/contrastive-poisoning">https://github.com/kaiwenzha/contrastive-poisoning</a>