

# EVC: Towards Real-Time Neural Image Compression with Mask Decay

Guo-Hua Wang<sup>1</sup>, Jiahao Li<sup>2</sup>, Bin Li<sup>2</sup>, Yan Lu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology, Nanjing University

<sup>2</sup>Microsoft Research Asia







# Introduction

• Task: image compression



- Traditional image compression
  - JPEG, BPG, VTM
  - hand-crafted features
- Learning based image compression
  - End-to-end optimization
  - Outperform traditional methods for the rate-distortion (RD) performance
  - But it suffers from a large complexity

#### Our contributions

- We propose an <u>Efficient Variable-bit-rate</u> <u>Codec</u> (EVC) for image compression
  - Our Large model: 30 FPS for the 768x512 inputs
  - Our Small model: 30 FPS for the 1920x1080 inputs
  - On-par with SOTA models for the RD performance
- We propose mask decay with a novel sparsity criterion
  - Our medium and small models are improved significantly by 50% and 30%, respectively.
- We advocate the scalable encoder for neural image compression
  - With residual representation learning and mask decay, our scalable encoder achieves a superior complexity-RD trade-off

### Our EVC framework



- We introduce adjustable quantization steps for variable RD trade-offs.
- Both encoder and decoder suffer from large complexities

#### Encoder and Decoder



# Hyperprior



Figure 11: The structure of our dual spatial prior.

# Mask decay



- The gradient of L2 norm vanishes when x approaches zero
- The gradient of L1 norm is a constant without considering its own magnitude

• Ours: 
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{sparse}(x)}{\partial x} = |x-1|, \quad \mathcal{L}_{sparse}(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + x, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}x^2 - x + 1, & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

#### The scalable encoder



- Residual representation learning (RRL) encourages the encoder's diversity
- Both RRL and mask decay treat the teacher as a reference, which makes the training more effective

#### Experiments

• Mask deacy and our scalable encoder



# Latency

• Comparison with state-of-the-art

Resolution	GPU	Туре	Entroformer	STF Transformer	CNN	Large	EVC Medium	Small
$768 \times 512$	2080Ti	encoding decoding	OM OM	176.3 202.3	158.5 210.2	63.0 41.1	44.7 <b>32.4</b>	28.4 24.4
	A100	encoding decoding	816.8 4361.9	115.9 143.2	96.4 118.0	21.1 19.1	19.8 17.1	17.7 15.6
$1920 \times 1080$	2080Ti	encoding decoding	OM OM	576.0 531.7	456.0 652.0	305.3 179.2	181.5 118.1	90.9 73.2
	A100	encoding decoding	7757.4 OM	355.6 354.8	278.1 281.7	84.2 60.2	56.3 46.5	31.4 29.7

#### **RD** Curves

• Comparison with state-of-the-art



# Visualization



Figure 20: Visualization of our models' reconstruction. EVC-SS denotes our model equipped with the small encoder and the small decoder, while M and L means medium and large, respectively. Numbers in the tuple are BPP, PSNR, the encoding time (ms), and the decoding time (ms), respectively. Note that the latency is measured on a computer with 2080Ti GPU. Our models are dramatically faster than VTM.

#### Conclusions

- A new milestone
  - Real-Time
  - On-par with SOTA for RD performance
  - A uniform model handles variable RD trade-offs
- We proposed mask decay with a novel sparse criterion
  - Our medium and small models are improved significantly by 50% and 30%, respectively.
  - The encoder is more redundant than the decoder.
- We advocate the scalable encoder for neural image compression
  - With residual representation learning and mask decay, our scalable encoder achieves a superior complexity-RD trade-off

# Thank you!



<u>https://openreview.net/pdf?id=XUxad2Gj40n</u>



https://github.com/microsoft/DCVC/tree/main/EVC