

Fitting Networks with a Cancellation Trick

Jiashun Jin (Carnegie Mellon University)
Jingming Wang (University of Virginia)

April 25, 2025

- Compared with linear network models, nonlinear models may have some additional values, but are much harder to analyze
- We address the problem by discovering a cancellation trick, which can convert a nonlinear model approximately to a linear model
- We showcase this with the logit-DCBM, but the idea may be useful in much broader settings

Data. Adjacency matrix for an undirected network with n nodes:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if node } i \text{ and } j \text{ have an edge,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{self-edges not allowed})$$

- There are K non-overlapping communities

$$\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_K$$

- All upper triangular entries of A are independent Bernoulli

$$A = \underbrace{\Omega - \text{diag}(\Omega)}_{\text{signal}} + \underbrace{W}_{\text{noise}}, \quad \Omega_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(A_{ij} = 1)$$

The logit-DCBM network model

$$\Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{1 + \theta_i \theta_j \pi_i' P \pi_j} \cdot \theta_i \theta_j \pi_i' P \pi_j \equiv \textcolor{red}{N}_{ij} \cdot \theta_i \theta_j \pi_i' P \pi_j$$

- θ_i : degree heterogeneity parameter
- π_i : community labels

$$\pi_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{k\text{-th entry}}, 0, \dots, 0)' \iff i \in \mathcal{C}_k$$

- P : baseline connectivity between communities (a $K \times K$ matrix)

A special latent space model (Hoff 2005); reduces to DCBM (Karrer & Newman 2011) if we remove the nonlinear part in red

Two cancellation tricks

Prob 1. If $\Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{1+\theta_i\theta_j}\theta_i\theta_j$, how to recover θ_i 's using Ω ?

Trick 1. For any odd integer $m \geq 3$,

$$\frac{\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in S_{i_1}(\text{dist})} \Omega_{i_1 i_2} (1 - \Omega_{i_2 i_3}) \dots \Omega_{i_{m-2} i_{m-1}} (1 - \Omega_{i_{m-1} i_m}) \Omega_{i_m i_1}}{\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in S_{i_1}(\text{dist})} (1 - \Omega_{i_1 i_2}) \Omega_{i_2 i_3} \dots (1 - \Omega_{i_{m-2} i_{m-1}}) \Omega_{i_{m-1} i_m} (1 - \Omega_{i_m i_1})} = \theta_{i_1}^2.$$

Prob 2. If $\Omega_{ij} = x_0 \cdot \frac{1}{1+\theta_i\theta_j} \theta_i\theta_j$, how to recover x_0 using Ω and θ_i 's?

Trick 2.

$$\frac{\sum_{i,j} \Omega_{ij}}{\sum_{i,j} \theta_i \theta_j (1 - \Omega_{ij})} = x_0$$

Community detection by R-SCORE

$$\Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{1 + \theta_i \theta_j \pi_i' P \pi_j} \cdot \theta_i \theta_j \pi_i' P \pi_j \equiv N_{ij} \cdot \theta_i \theta_j \pi_i' P \pi_j$$

- **Goal.** Cluster all n nodes into K different community
- **Background.** Without the red part, logit-DCBM reduces to DCBM, in which case, SCORE is an effective algorithm
- **Proposal.** A recursive algorithm called the R-SCORE
 - 1. Apply SCORE by pretending the underlying model is DCBM
 - 2. Fitting all parameters by adapting the two cancellation tricks
 - 3. Obtain an approximated DCBM:

$$\frac{1}{\widehat{N_{ij}}} \Omega_{ij} \approx (1 + \theta_i \theta_j \pi_i' P \pi_j) \Omega_{ij} = \theta_i \theta_j \pi_i' P \pi_j$$

- Iterate steps 1-3

Parameter-fitting details

Idea. Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_k$ be the k -th estimated community by SCORE.

- Restrict our attention to each $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_k$
- Fit parameters by mimicking the cancellation tricks

$$\hat{\theta}_i = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j \neq k \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\pi_i}} A_{ij}(1 - A_{jk})A_{ki}}{\sum_{j \neq k \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\pi_i}} (1 - A_{ij})A_{jk}(1 - A_{ki})}}$$
$$\hat{p}_{k\ell} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_k, j \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_\ell} A_{ij}}{\sum_{i \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_k, j \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_\ell} \hat{\theta}_i \hat{\theta}_j (1 - A_{ij})}$$

Theoretical Results

Consider Hamming error: $r_n(\hat{\Pi}) = n^{-1} \min_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{(\hat{\pi} \neq \pi_i)}$

- Rate of SCORE

$$r_n(\hat{\Pi}^{\text{score}}) \lesssim [\|(\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n') \circ \tilde{\Omega}\|^2 + \lambda_1(\tilde{\Omega})] / \lambda_K^2(\tilde{\Omega}) =: \delta_n$$

- Rate of SCORE after parameter-fitting

$$r_n(\hat{\Pi}) \lesssim [\|(\mathbf{N} \oslash \hat{\mathbf{N}} - \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n') \circ \tilde{\Omega}\|^2 + \tau_n^2 + \lambda_1(\tilde{\Omega})] / \lambda_K^2(\tilde{\Omega})$$

τ_n relies on the rates of \hat{P} and \hat{N} , is small under certain regularity conditions.

Theorem (Error Rate of R-SCORE)

Under some regularity conditions, the error rate of R-SCORE is given by

$$r_n(\hat{\Pi}^{\text{rscore}}) \lesssim [\lambda_1(\tilde{\Omega}) + n\bar{\theta}^4 \log(n) + n^2\bar{\theta}^2 \delta_n^2 + n^2\bar{\theta}^6 \delta_n] / \lambda_K^2(\tilde{\Omega})$$

Rate Comparison: SCORE vs. R-SCORE

In the case that $|\lambda_{\min}(P)| \geq C$ and $n\bar{\theta}^4 \rightarrow \infty$,

$$r_n(\hat{\Pi}^{\text{score}}) \lesssim \frac{1}{n\bar{\theta}^2} + \bar{\theta}^4,$$

$$r_n(\hat{\Pi}^{\text{rscore}}) \lesssim \frac{1}{n\bar{\theta}^2} + \bar{\theta}^6 + \frac{\log(n)}{n}.$$

Let $\bar{\theta} = n^{-\beta}$, where $0 < \beta < 1/2$ (required for $\text{SNR} \rightarrow \infty$).

$$r_n(\hat{\Pi}^{\text{score}}) \lesssim n^{-a_0(\beta)}, \quad r_n(\hat{\Pi}^{\text{rscore}}) \lesssim n^{-a_1(\beta)}.$$

